Integrating New Jersey **Community Science in Fisheries eDNA Monitoring** of Offshore Wind

Erin Conlon¹, Jason E. Adolf¹, Sam Chew Chin, Keith Dunton¹, Shannon O'Leary² ¹Monmouth University ²Saint Anselm College

New Jersey's Push for Clean Energy

Biden-Harris

• 30 gigawatts OSW by 2030, 15 GW floating OSW by 2035 (BOEM)

NJ aims for 100% clean energy by 2050

Governor Phil Murphy order:

- 7500 MW offshore wind energy by 2035
- 3,742 MW awarded in Jan '24 second solicitation



Phase 3:

Operations



Addresses the need for regional research and monitoring of marine and coastal resources during offshore wind development, construction, operation and decommissioning as recommended in the New Jersey Offshore Wind Strategic Plan



Colleen Brust and Caitlin McGarigal (NJDEP Managers)





Objectives

- Establish baseline conditions in surf zone adjacent to offshore wind development areas
- Establish relationship to offshore sites using the same methodology
- Compare community eDNA collection techniques to lab based eDNA collection techniques
 - Engage the community





What is eDNA?

- Cellular or extracellular material that is shed into surrounding water such as:
 - mucous
 - bodily fluids
 - skin cells
 - \circ scales
 - o other tissue
- This material can be extracted from the water and amplified to determine fish community composition



Leaflet | © OpenStreetMap, ODbL, Tiles © Esri — Sources: GEBCO, NOAA, CHS, OSU, UNH, CSUMB, National Geographic, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, and Esri

- Sampling occurs once every season
 - Sampling planning order: Offshore (3 days) Lab shoreline (1 day) Community shoreline (1 week window)

Community Science Kits

Kit Contents

- Smith-Root Citizen
 Science eDNA sampler
- Smith-Root self-preserving eDNA filters (0.45 micron) in a container or bag / box that blocks light
- EXTECH model EC170 Salinity / Temperature Pen with calibration standard
- 2-gallon bucket
- Clipboard, datasheets, and pencil
- Shipping Labels and envelopes

*gear not included: Waders / seasonal appropriate gear







Community Science eDNA Shoreline Sampling Datasheet Monmouth University / NJDEP RMI eDNA Monitoring Project

| ERSEY | Sampler Names: | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|------|----------|--|--|
| | Date (Month, Day, Year) | Time | Location | | |
| | | | | | |

 Latitude (xx.xxxxx): Longitude (xx.xxxxx): Obtained through (please circle one): Handheid GPS Apple Compass Google Map: Other: Cherr. ______

Atmospheric Conditions:

- Sky (please circle one): Clear/Sunny Overcast Rain (Intermittent) Rain (Steady) Rain (Heavy)
 Air temp (*F):
 - Obtained through (please circle one): weather.com accuweather.com other:_____
- Wind Strength (please circle one): Light Moderate Heavy

• Wind direction (from): Please circle one direction $\rightarrow \psi$

Ocean conditions

- Tide stage (please circle one): High Low Flooding Ebbing
- Wave roughness (please circle one): Light Moderate Heavy

Water quality

| Salinity (ppt) | Temperature (°C) | Volume Filtered (mL) |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| ExTech Calibrated on:/ | _/ by | |
| Notes: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Sampler Initials / Date: | | QA/QC Officer Initials / Date |
| Sampler Initials / Date: | Thank you! | QA/QC Officer Initials / Dat |

Lab vs Community Science Methodology



Results



- Lab eDNA collection methods yielded higher species richness over community eDNA collection methods
- Winter sampling yielded on average 6 species for community and 14 for lab
- Spring sampling yielded on average 9 species for community and 22 for lab

Results



Areas of high and low richness are observed at the same stations over both methods

Results



Common species detections over both methods:

- Striped Bass
- Bluefish
- Bottlenose Dolphin

Species detected by only lab methods:

- Fin Whale
- American Conger Eel
- Bluegill

Shiny App!



Property and

Surf zone eDNA results by community members (RMIC) and Monmouth University (RMIS)

As part of the NJ RMI offshore wind monitoring eDNA project, community scientists trained and equipped by Monmouth University staff are helping measure fish (and other marine vertebrate) composition at sites along the NJ shoreline. Since community and MU researchers use different methods to measure the same thing, the first thing we want to learn is whether or not measurements made at the same time / place look the same. At left, select the station in the drop down menu to see a comparison over time of how many taxa were detected by community and MU samplers. How over the points to see list of the taxa. At right, the map shows community / IMU inchess side-by-side for each location.



eDNA allows community comparisons between very different environments



Wall was

Future Work

- Quarterly sampling through 2025
- Lab vs. community methods comparison
 experiment
- Further development of the shiny app
- Finding more volunteers for Atlantic City and Wildwood



Conclusions

- eDNA can be used to monitor fish community composition during offshore wind development
- We are piloting a program that engages the community in quality-assured eDNA monitoring
- Using eDNA helps avoid gear biases when sampling two different environments



Acknowledgements

Thank you to our community volunteers:

- Tak Waterman
- Manasquan High School Fishing Club
- Berkeley Striper Club
- Megan and Aiden Smith
- Emma Najarian
- Kiernan Bates

Colleen Brust and Caitlin McGarigal (NJDEP Managers)





econlon@monmouth.edu